Mintz No. 5075-0043

MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS, GLOVSKY AND POPEO, P.C.

1400 PAGE MILL ROAD PALO ALTO, CALIFORNIA 94304-1124 (650) 251-7700 TELEPHONE (650) 251-7739 FACSIMILE WWW.MINTZ.COM

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NOV 1 3 2006

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET

TO:

Mail Stop Amendment

FACSIMILE NO.: (571) 273-8300

USPTO

Group Art Unit 1621

FROM:

Flavio M. Rose

FACSIMILE NO.: (650) 251-7739

Reg. No. 40,791

TELEPHONE NO.: (650) 251-7700

DATE:

November 13, 2006

PAGE 1 OF: 5

SUBJECT:

U.S. Pat. Appl. Ser. No. 10/729,453

Filed December 4, 2003

CONFIRMATION COPY TO FOLLOW:

TYES NO

Entitled "PRECURSORS TO

FLUOROALKANOL-CONTAINING

OLEFIN MONOMERS AND

ASSOCIATED METHODS OF SYNTHESIS

AND USE"

By Gregory BREYTA et al.

Atty Doc. No. ARC920030074U\$1

Please see the attached Amendment for the above-identified patent application.

TRA 2221840v.1

WARNING: This facsimile message and accompanying documents are intended only for the use of the addressec indicated above. Information that is privileged or otherwise confidential may be contained therein. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, copying, review, or use of the above message or the accompanying documents is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notity us immediately by telephone or (acsimle, and mail the original to us at the above address. Thank you.

> PLEASE CALL (450) 251-7700 IF YOU HAVE ANY PROBLEMS RECEIVING THIS TRANSMISSION OR HAVE RECEIVED THIS TRANSMISSION IN ERROR.

Mintz No. 5075-0043
PTO/SB/21 (08-03)
Approved for use through 08/30/2003. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

	Application Number	10/729,453	
TRANSMITTAL	Filing Date	December 4, 2003	
FORM	First Named Inventor	Gregory BREYTA e	t al. <u>RECEIVE</u> E)
	Art Init	1621	OCATION SAVER
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing	Examiner Name	PRICE, Elvis O.	CENTAL TOP BEAT
		ARC920030074US1	NOV 1 2 2000
Mail Stop Amendment	Attorney Docket Number	ANCSZ00001400	THUY 1 3 ZUUB
	- Lander Lands	AL	
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)			
No fee due	Drawing(s) Licensing-related Papers Petition Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Change of Correspondence Address Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s): Remarks: The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional or underpayment of fee(s) to Deposit Account No. 09-0441. After Allowance Communication to a Technology Center (TC) Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Proprietary Information Status Letter Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below): The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional or underpayment of fee(s) to Deposit Account No. 09-0441.		
Firm or Flouis M. Par	Don No. 40 704		
	se, Reg. No. 40,791 Cohn, Ferris, Glovsky and Pope	o, P.C. Telephone	(650) 251-7700
Signature 74		Date	November 13, 2006
	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSIO	N	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsi number (671) 273-8300 on the date shown below.	mile transmitted to Examiner Elvis O. Price	e in Group Art Unit 1621 o	f the USPTO at facsimile
Name (print/type) Katherine Stofer			
Signature (CS +S)	<u> </u>	Date	November 13, 2006
1-210/			

TRA 2221860v.1

Application No. 10/729,453
Response dated November 13, 2006
Response to Restriction Requirement dated October 12, 2006

In the United States Patent and Trademark Office

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NOV 1 3 2006

In Re Application of:

Gregory BREYTA et al.

Confirmation No.: 1035

Serial No.: 10/729,453

Group Art Unit: 1621

Filing Date: December 4, 2003

Examiner: PRICE, Elvis O.

Title: PRECURSORS TO FLUOROALKANOL-CONTAINING OLEFIN MONOMERS AND

ASSOCIATED METHODS OF SYNTHESIS AND USE

RESPONSE TO REQUIREMENT FOR RESTRICTION

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is in response to the Requirement for Restriction mailed October 12, 2006, in which the Examiner required restriction between I-VIII groups of claims which the Examiner describes as follows:

- (I) Claims 1-14 and 70, drawn to an aliphatic fluorinated polyol, classified in class 568;
- (II) Claims 15-27 and 71, drawn to an aliphatic fluoroalkanol ester, classified in class 560.
- (III) Claims 28-35, 39 and 72, drawn to a cycloaliphatic fluoroalkanol ester, classified in class 560.
- (IV) Claims 36-38, 40-43 and 73, drawn to a fluorodihydroxy ester, classified in class 560.
- (V) Claims 44 and 74, drawn to fluorotrihydroxy ester, classified in class 560.
- (VI) Claims 45-49, drawn to a method for making Group I compounds, classified in class 568.
- (VII) Claims 50-56, drawn to a method for making Group II compounds, classified in class 560.

Application No. 10/729,453
Response dated November 13, 2006
Response to Restriction Requirement dated October 12, 2006

(VIII) Claims 57-69, drawn to a method for making Groups IV or V compounds, classified in class 560.

In response, applicants elect Group II, claims 15-27 and 71, with traverse.

The restriction requirement is traversed because Groups II, III, IV, V, VII, and VIII are all classified in class 560. Furthermore, Groups II, III, IV, and V all correspond to compositions of matter classified in class 560.

According to the MPEP, a restriction requirement is not permissible unless there would otherwise be a "serious burden" placed on the examiner. MPEP § 803 states:

If the search and examination of all the claims in an application can be made without serious burden, the examiner must examine them on the merits, even though they include claims to independent or distinct inventions.

The Examiner has not explained in any way why the search of claims such as those of groups II and III or IV and V, all classified in class 560, would constitute a burden. Aside from their positions in the patent classification, the close relationship between groups IV and V for search purposes is further shown, for example, by the fact that the Examiner has placed together in Group VIII the claims addressing methods of synthesizing the compounds from both groups IV and V.

For these reasons, it is respectfully be requested that the Examiner withdraw the restriction requirement at least as it pertains to groups II, III, IV, and V, allowing those four groups to be prosecuted together in one application and allowing rejoinder of groups VII and VIII to occur when the conditions for doing so are met.

Applicants expressly reserve their right under 35 USC § 121 to file a divisional application directed to the non-elected subject matter during the pendency of this application.

If the Examiner has any questions concerning this communication, or would like to discuss the application, the art, or other pertinent matters, he is welcome to contact the undersigned attorney at his direct dial (650) 251-7712.

Atty Dkt No. ARC920030074US1 Mintz No. 5075-0043

Application No. 10/729,453
Response dated November 13, 2006
Response to Restriction Requirement dated October 12, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

By:

Flavio M. Rose, Reg. No. 40,791 c/o Mintz Levin 1400 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, California 94304-1124 (650) 251-7700 Telephone (650) 251-7739 Facsimile

Customer No. 23980

Date: November 13, 2006

TRA 2220324v.1